

Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 18, Issue 2

1975

Article 6

JUNE 1976

A classification of free quadratic extensions of rings

Kazuo Kishimoto*

*Shinshu University

Copyright ©1975 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

A CLASSIFICATION OF FREE QUADRATIC EXTENSIONS OF RINGS

Dedicated to Professor Kiiti Morita on his 60th birthday

KAZUO KISHIMOTO

Introduction. Throughout this paper, B will mean a ring with identity 1 and all ring extensions of B will be assumed with the (common) identity 1. A ring extension A of B is called a *free extension* of B if A is free as right B -module and as left B -module.

The purpose of this paper is to construct a semigroup and a group consisting of B -ring isomorphism classes of free quadratic extensions (free extensions of rank 2) of B , and moreover the study contains some characterization of these semigroup and group. In commutative case, such constructions have been studied in [1], [2] and others. Indeed, K. Kitamura proved that if B is commutative and $Q_f(B)$ means the set of all B -algebra isomorphism classes of free quadratic extensions of B then $Q_f(B)$ forms an abelian semigroup under a certain composition, and the set of all B -algebra isomorphism classes of free quadratic separable extensions coincides with $U(Q_f(B))$, the set of all invertible elements of $Q_f(B)$ which is a subgroup of $Q_f(B)$; in particular, if 2 is invertible in B then $U(Q_f(B))$ is isomorphic to $U(B)/U(B)^2$, $U(B)^2 = \{u^2 \mid u \in U(B)\}$.

In this paper, §0 is devoted to notations and terminologies for the subsequent study. In §§1 and 2, we assume that 2 is invertible in B . In §1, we shall study on the separability of free quadratic extensions of automorphism type. In §2, we shall show that some isomorphism classes of free quadratic extensions of B of automorphism type form an abelian semigroup with identity, and we shall determine the structure of the semigroup. Especially, for commutative rings, we see that the semigroup is isomorphic to $B/U(B)^2$ (cf. [2]). In §3, we assume that $2=0$ in B . In this case, any cyclic extension of B with a Galois group of order 2 is obtained as a free quadratic extension of derivation type (cf. [3]). We shall here show that some isomorphism classes of free quadratic extensions of B of derivation type form an abelian group, and we shall determine the structure of the group. Especially, for commutative rings, the group is isomorphic to $(B, +)/\{b^2 + b \mid b \in B\}$.

0. Notations and terminologies. Let ρ and D be an automorphism

and a derivation of B respectively. We use the following conventions :

Z = the center of B .

$B_1 = B^\rho = \{b \in B \mid \rho(b) = b\}$, $Z_1 = Z \cap B_1$.

$B(\rho^i) = \{b \in B \mid cb = b\rho^i(c) \text{ for all } c \in B\}$, $B_1(\rho^i) = B_1 \cap B(\rho^i)$.

$LN_\rho(b; n) = \rho^{n-1}(b)\rho^{n-2}(b)\cdots\rho(b)b$ ($b \in B$).

$LN_\rho(B; n) = \{LN_\rho(b; n) \mid b \in B\}$, $LN(b; n) = LN_1(b; n) = b^n$.

$\tilde{b} = b_i b_r^{-1}$, the inner automorphism generated by $b \in U(B)$.

$I_b = b_r - b_i$, the inner derivation generated by $b \in B$.

$B_o = B^D = \{b \in B \mid D(b) = 0\}$, $Z_o = B_o \cap Z$.

$B(a, D) = \{b \in B \mid I_b = D^2 + a_r D\}$, $B_o(a, D) = B_o \cap B(a, D)$.

$B[X; \rho]$ (resp. $B[X; D]$) = the ring of all polynomials $\sum_i X^i b_i$ ($b_i \in B$) in an indeterminate X whose multiplication is defined by $bX = X\rho(b)$ (resp. $bX = Xb + D(b)$) for each $b \in B$.

If $a \in B_1(\rho)$ and $b \in B_1(\rho^2)$ then $(X^2 - Xa - b)B[X; \rho]$ is a two-sided ideal of $B[X; \rho]$. In this case, the ring extension of B , $B[X; \rho]/(X^2 - Xa - b)B[X; \rho]$ is called a *free quadratic extension of ρ -automorphism type*. On the other hand, in case $2=0$, if $a \in Z_o$ and $b \in B_o(a, D)$ then $(X^2 - Xa - b)B[X; D]$ is a two-sided ideal of $B[X; D]$, and conversely. In this case, the ring extension of B , $B[X; D]/(X^2 - Xa - b)B[X; D]$ is called a *free quadratic extension of D -derivation type*. Moreover, we use the following notations :

$\mathcal{Q}_\rho(B) = \{B[X; \rho]/(X^2 - Xa - b)B[X; \rho] \mid a \in B_1(\rho), b \in B_1(\rho^2)\}$.

$\mathcal{Q}_\rho^o(B) = \{B[X; \rho]/(X^2 - b)B[X; \rho] \mid b \in B_1(\rho^2)\}$.

$\mathcal{Q}_\rho^o(B) = \{B[X; D]/(X^2 - Xa - b)B[X; D] \mid b \in B_o(a, D)\}$, where a is a (fixed) element of $U(Z_o)$ and $2=0$.

Finally, a ring extension A of B is called *separable* over B if the A - A -homomorphism $a \otimes a' \rightarrow aa'$ of $A \otimes_B A$ onto A splits. If A is a Galois extension of B then A/B is separable (cf. [5, Th. 1. 5]).

1. Separability of a free quadratic extension of ρ -automorphism type. In this section, we assume that 2 is invertible in B . If $A = B[X; \rho]/(X^2 - b)B[X; \rho] \in \mathcal{Q}_\rho^o(B)$ then we denote $X + (X^2 - b)B[X; \rho]$ and A by x_b and $B[x_b]$ respectively. Firstly, we shall prove the following

Lemma 1.1. *If $A \in \mathcal{Q}_\rho(B)$ then A is B -ring isomorphic to some $A' \in \mathcal{Q}_\rho^o(B)$.*

Proof. Let $A = B[X; \rho]/(X^2 - Xa - b)B[X; \rho]$ and $x = X + (X^2 - Xa - b)B[X; \rho]$ where $a \in B_1(\rho)$ and $b \in B_1(\rho^2)$. Then $\{1, y = x - a/2\}$ is a free B -basis for A . For each $c \in B$, $cy = c(x - a/2) = x\rho(c) - (a/2)\rho(c) =$

$y\rho(c)$ and $y^2 = x^2 - xa + a^2/4 = b + a^2/4 \in B_1(\rho^2)$. Hence, if we set $c = b + a^2/4$ then A is B -ring isomorphic to $B[x_\cdot] \in \mathcal{Q}_\rho^o(B)$.

Now, as in [4], an extension A/B in $\mathcal{Q}_\rho(B)$ will be called *strongly cyclic* if A/B is (σ) -Galois and A contains a unit a with $\sigma(a) = -a$. Next, we shall prove the following

Theorem 1.2. *Let $A \in \mathcal{Q}_\rho(B)$. Then, the extension A/B is separable if and only if it is strongly cyclic. In case $A = B[x_b] \in \mathcal{Q}_\rho^o(B)$, A/B is separable if and only if b is invertible in B .*

Proof. By Lemma 1.1, we may assume that $A = B[x_b] \in \mathcal{Q}_\rho^o(B)$. If b is invertible in B then, as in [4], there exists an automorphism σ of A mapping x_b into $-x_b$ and A/B is a Galois extension with a Galois group (σ) of order 2. If A/B is Galois then it is separable by [5, Th. 1.5]. For the remainder of the proof, we assume that A/B is separable, and we set $x = x_b$. Then there exist elements $xa_{1i} + a_{0i}$, $xb_{1i} + b_{0i}$ (a_{1i} , a_{0i} , b_{1i} , $b_{0i} \in B$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (xa_{1i} + a_{0i})(xb_{1i} + b_{0i}) = 1,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (y(xa_{1i} + a_{0i}) \otimes (xb_{1i} + b_{0i})) = \sum_{i=1}^m ((xa_{1i} + a_{0i}) \otimes (xb_{1i} + b_{0i})y) \quad (y \in A).$$

The first equation implies $1 = \sum_{i=1}^m x(a_{1i}b_{0i} + \rho(a_{0i})b_{1i}) + \sum_{i=1}^m (b\rho(a_{1i})b_{1i} + a_{0i}b_{0i})$. Hence we have

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m (b\rho(a_{1i})b_{1i} + a_{0i}b_{0i}) = 1.$$

While, the second equation implies $\sum_{i=1}^m (x(xa_{1i} + a_{0i}) \otimes (xb_{1i} + b_{0i})) = \sum_{i=1}^m ((ba_{1i} + xa_{0i}) \otimes (xb_{1i} + b_{0i})) = \sum_{i=1}^m ((x \otimes x)\rho(a_{0i})b_{1i} + (x \otimes 1)a_{0i}b_{0i} + (1 \otimes x)\rho(ba_{1i})b_{1i} + (1 \otimes 1)ba_{1i}b_{0i})$ and this is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^m ((xa_{1i} + a_{0i}) \otimes (xb_{1i} + b_{0i})x) = \sum_{i=1}^m ((xa_{1i} + a_{0i}) \otimes (b\rho(b_{1i}) + x\rho(b_{0i}))) = \sum_{i=1}^m ((x \otimes x)\rho(a_{1i}b_{0i}) + (1 \otimes x)\rho(a_{0i}b_{0i}) + (x \otimes 1)a_{1i}b\rho(b_{1i}) + (1 \otimes 1)a_{1i}b\rho(b_{1i}))$.

Comparing the coefficients of $x \otimes 1$, we have

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m a_{0i}b_{0i} = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{1i}b\rho(b_{1i}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \rho^2(a_{1i})\rho(b_{1i}).$$

By (1) and (2),

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_{i=1}^m (b\rho(a_{1i})b_{1i} + a_{0i}b_{0i}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (b\rho(a_{1i})b_{1i} + b\rho^2(a_{1i})\rho(b_{1i})) \\ &= b \sum_{i=1}^m (\rho(a_{1i})b_{1i} + \rho^2(a_{1i})\rho(b_{1i})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x^2 = b$ is invertible. This completes the proof.

2. A classification of free quadratic extensions of ρ -automorphism type. Throughout the present section, we assume that 2 is invertible in

B and ρ is an automorphism of B such that $\rho^2 = \tilde{u}^{-1}$ for some $u \in U(B_1)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $B[x_b]$ and $B[x_c]$ be elements of $\mathcal{Q}_\rho(B)$. Then $B[x_b]$ is B -ring isomorphic to $B[x_c]$ if and only if $b = cs$ for some $s \in LN_\rho(U(Z); 2)$, and in this case, if $s = LN_\rho(\alpha; 2)$ with $\alpha \in U(Z)$ then there exists a B -ring isomorphism $B[x_b] \longrightarrow B[x_c]$ mapping x_b into $x_c\alpha$.*

Proof. We write $x = x_b$ and $y = x_c$. If $b = cLN_\rho(\alpha; 2)$ for some $\alpha \in U(Z)$ then $(y\alpha)^2 = y^2LN_\rho(\alpha; 2) = x^2$, $d(y\alpha) = (y\alpha)\rho(d)$ for all $d \in B$, and hence, the mapping $ux + v \longrightarrow uya + v$ ($u, v \in B$) is a B -ring isomorphism of $B[x]$ onto $B[y]$. Conversely, we assume that there exists a B -ring isomorphism $\varphi: B[x] \longrightarrow B[y]$. Then $\varphi(x) = y\alpha + \beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in B$. If $d \in B$ then $y\rho(d)\alpha + d\beta = d(y\alpha + \beta) = \varphi(dx) = \varphi(x\rho(d)) = y\alpha\rho(d) + \beta\rho(d)$. This shows that $\alpha \in Z$ and $\beta \in B(\rho)$. Since $y = (y\alpha + \beta)d_1 + d_2$ for some $d_1, d_2 \in B$, α is contained in $U(Z)$. Further $x^2 = \varphi(x^2) = (y\alpha + \beta)^2 = y^2\rho(\alpha)\alpha + y\alpha(\beta + \rho(\beta)) + \beta^2$ yields $\beta + \rho(\beta) = 0$. Noting that $\beta \in B(\rho)$, we have $0 = \rho(\beta + \rho(\beta)) = 2\beta^2$, and hence $\beta^2 = 0$. Therefore $x^2 = y^2\rho(\alpha)\alpha$, that is, $b = cLN_\rho(\alpha; 2)$, $\alpha \in U(Z)$.

Lemma 2.2. $B_1(\rho^2) = uZ_1$, and $Z_1 \cong LN_\rho(U(Z); 2)$ as a multiplicative subgroup. Moreover, if $b \in B_1(\rho^2)$ then so is bcu^{-1} for all $c \in B_1(\rho^2)$, and $b \in B_1(\rho^2) \cap U(B)$ if and only if $bdu^{-1} \in uLN_\rho(U(Z); 2)$ for some $d \in B_1(\rho^2)$.

Proof. Let $b \in B_1(\rho^2)$. If $d \in B$ then $db = b\rho^2(d) = bu^{-1}du$, and so, $dbu^{-1} = bu^{-1}d$. This shows that $bu^{-1} \in Z \cap B_1 = Z_1$, and hence $b \in uZ_1$. Conversely, if $b \in uZ_1$ then it is clear that $b \in B_1(\rho^2)$. Thus we obtain $B_1(\rho^2) = uZ_1$. The other assertions will be easily seen.

Now, by $P_\rho(B)$, we denote the set of all B -ring isomorphism classes in $\mathcal{Q}_\rho(B)$, and if $C \in P_\rho(B)$ and $A \in C$ then we write $C = \langle A \rangle$. By Lemma 1.1, each $C \in P_\rho(B)$ meets $\mathcal{Q}_\rho(B)$, and hence, if $C \in P_\rho(B)$ then $C = \langle A \rangle$ for some $A \in \mathcal{Q}_\rho(B)$. Under this situation, we shall prove the following

Theorem 2.3. $P_\rho(B)$ forms an abelian semigroup with $1 = \langle B[x_u] \rangle$ under the composition $\langle B[x_b] \rangle \langle B[x_c] \rangle = \langle B[x_{bcu^{-1}}] \rangle$. Moreover, for an element $\langle B[x_b] \rangle$ of $P_\rho(B)$, $\langle B[x_b] \rangle \in U(P_\rho(B))$ if and only if $b \in U(B)$.

Proof. Let $\langle B[x_b] \rangle = \langle B[x_{b'}] \rangle$ and $\langle B[x_c] \rangle = \langle B[x_{c'}] \rangle$. Then by Lemma 2.1, there exist elements $s, t \in LN_\rho(U(Z); 2)$ with $b = b's$ and $c = c't$. Hence $bcu^{-1} = b'c'u^{-1}st \in B_1(\rho^2)$, and $st \in LN_\rho(U(Z); 2)$ (Lemma 2.2). This means that $\langle B[x_{bcu^{-1}}] \rangle = \langle B[x_{b'c'u^{-1}}] \rangle$, that is, the composition is well defined. The other assertion follows immediately from Lemma 2.2.

Theorem 2.4. $P_\rho(B)$ is isomorphic to the factor semigroup $Z_1/LN_\rho(U(Z); 2)$. In particular, $U(P_\rho(B))$ is isomorphic to $U(Z_1)/LN_\rho(U(Z); 2)$, and $U(P_\rho(B))$ coincides with the subset of $P_\rho(B)$ consisting of the elements $\langle A \rangle$ with A separable over B .

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, the mapping

$$f: z \longrightarrow \langle B[x_{zu}] \rangle \quad (z \in Z_1)$$

is a semigroup epimorphism of Z_1 onto $P_\rho(B)$. For elements $z, z' \in Z_1$, $f(z) = f(z')$ if and only if $z = z's$ for some $s \in LN_\rho(U(Z); 2)$ (Lemma 2.1). This implies that $Z_1/LN_\rho(U(Z); 2)$ is isomorphic to $P_\rho(B)$. Moreover, since $U(Z_1/LN_\rho(U(Z); 2)) = U(Z_1)/LN_\rho(U(Z); 2)$, $U(Z_1)/LN_\rho(U(Z); 2)$ is isomorphic to $U(P_\rho(B))$. The last assertion is a direct consequence of Ths. 1.2 and 2.3.

Now, it is easily seen that for any $A \in \mathcal{Q}_1(B)$, $A \cong B \otimes {}_Z A'$ for some $A' \in \mathcal{Q}_1(Z)$, where 1 is the identity map of B onto B . Moreover, as an easy consequence of Th. 2.4, we obtain the following

Corollary 2.5. If $\rho \mid Z$ (the restriction of ρ on Z) $= 1$ then $Z/U(Z)^2 \cong P_\rho(B) \cong P_1(B) \cong P_1(Z) = Q_\rho(Z)$. In particular,

- (1) if ρ is inner then $P_\rho(B) \cong P_1(B)$.
- (2) (Kitamura [2]) If B is commutative then $P_1(B) \cong B/U(B)^2$.

Next, we consider some free quadratic extensions of $B[X; \rho]$ of automorphism type. The automorphism ρ can be extended to an automorphism σ of $B[X; \rho]$ by $\sigma(\sum_i X^i b_i) = \sum_i X^i \rho(b_i)$. Then $\sigma^2 = \tilde{u}^{-1}$ and $u \in U(B[X; \rho]^\sigma)$. By C, we denote the center of $B[X; \rho]$. Then we have the following

Theorem 2.6. $P_\sigma(B[X; \rho])$ is isomorphic to $C/U(C)^2$. If $U(B[X; \rho]) = U(B)$ then $U(P_\sigma(B[X; \rho])) \cong U(P_1(Z_1)) \cong U(Z_1)/U(Z_1)^2 \sim U(Z_1)/LN_\rho(U(Z); 2) \cong U(P_\rho(B))$ where \sim is the canonical epimorphism $zU(Z_1)^2 \longrightarrow zLN_\rho(U(Z); 2)$, and in case $\rho=1$, this is an isomorphism.

Proof. As is easily seen, $B[X; \rho]^\sigma$ coincides with the centralizer of X in $B[X; \rho]$. This implies that $B[X; \rho] \supseteq C$, and so, $C^\sigma = C$. Hence by Th. 2.4, $P_\sigma(B[X; \rho])$ is isomorphic to $C/U(C)^2$. Next, we assume that $U(B[X; \rho]) = U(B)$. Then $U(C) \subseteq U(B) \cap U(C^\sigma) \subseteq U(B \cap C^\sigma) \subseteq U((B \cap C)^\sigma) \subseteq U(Z^\sigma) = U(Z_1)$. Since $C \supseteq Z_1$, it follows that $U(C) = U(Z_1)$. Hence $U(C)/U(C)^2 = U(Z_1)/U(Z_1)^2$. Noting that $U(Z_1) \supseteq LN_\rho(U(Z); 2) \supseteq U(Z_1)^2$, we obtain the other assertion by Th. 2.4.

Next, for rings $B \cong R$, we shall consider the groups $P_\rho(B)$, $P_\eta(R)$. Let R be a ring with an automorphism η such that $\eta^2 = \tilde{v}^{-1}$ for some $v \in$

$U(R)$ with $\gamma(v)=v$. Further, by W we denote the center of R , and we set $W_1=W^n$. Under this situation, we shall prove the following

Theorem 2.7. *If there exists a ring isomorphism φ of B onto R with $\varphi\rho=\eta\varphi$, then $P_\rho(B)\cong P_\eta(R)$. In particular, if $B\cong R$, then $P_1(B)\cong P_1(R)$.*

Proof. Since φ is an isomorphism, $\eta(Z)=W$ is clear. If $z\in Z_1$ then $\varphi(z)=\varphi(\rho(z))=\eta(\varphi(z))$. This shows that $\varphi(Z_1)\subseteq W_1$. Symmetrically, we have $\varphi^{-1}(W_1)\subseteq Z_1$. Thus we obtain $\varphi(Z_1)=W_1$. By a similar method, we also obtain $\varphi(LN_\rho(U(Z); 2))=LN_\eta(U(W); 2)$. Hence $Z_1/LN_\rho(U(Z); 2)$ is isomorphic to $W_1/LN_\eta(U(W); 2)$. From this and Th. 2.4, our assertion follows immediately.

3. A classification of free quadratic extensions of D -derivation type. Throughout the present section, we assume that $2=0$ in B , D is a derivation of B , and that α is a (fixed) element of $U(Z_0)$. Further, we set

$$\mathfrak{B}_\alpha(B) = \{\beta \in B \mid \beta^2 + D(\beta) + \beta\alpha \in Z_0 \text{ and } I_\beta = D + \alpha_r D \\ \text{for some } \alpha \in U(Z) \text{ with } \alpha^2 = 1 \text{ and } \alpha(1+\alpha) = D(\alpha)\}.$$

$$\mathfrak{D}_\alpha(B) = \{\beta^2 + D(\beta) + \beta\alpha \mid \beta \in \mathfrak{B}_\alpha(B)\}.$$

If we take $\alpha=1$ and $\beta=0$ then $\alpha \in U(Z)$, $\alpha^2=1$ and $\alpha(1+\alpha)=2\alpha=0=D(1)=D(\alpha)$. Further $0=\beta^2+D(\beta)+\beta\alpha \in Z_0$ and $0=I_\beta=2D=D+D=D+1_r D$. Thus $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha(B) \ni 0$. This shows that $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha(B) \neq \emptyset$, and hence $\mathfrak{D}_\alpha(B) \neq \emptyset$.

First, we shall prove the following

Lemma 3.1. (1) *If $\beta\delta=\delta\beta$ for all $\beta, \delta \in \mathfrak{B}_\alpha(B)$ then $\mathfrak{D}_\alpha(B)$ is an additive subgroup of $(Z_0, +)$.*

(2) *If $D(z) \neq az$ for each $z \in Z - \{0\}$ then $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha(B) \subseteq Z$.*

Proof. (1) Let β, δ be elements of $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha(B)$. Then there exist elements α, γ in $U(Z)$ such that $\alpha^2=\gamma^2=1$, $\alpha(1+\alpha)=D(\alpha)$, $\alpha(1+\gamma)=D(\gamma)$, $I_\beta=D+\alpha_r D$ and $I_\delta=D+\gamma_r D$. Since $\beta\delta=\delta\beta$, we have $(\beta+\gamma)^2=\beta^2+\gamma^2$. Hence $(\beta^2+D(\beta)+\beta\alpha)+(\gamma^2+D(\gamma)+\gamma\alpha)=(\beta+\gamma)^2+D(\beta+\gamma)+(\beta+\gamma)\alpha$, which is in Z_0 . We set here $\kappa=1+\alpha+\gamma$. Then $\kappa^2=1+\alpha^2+\gamma^2=1$, $\kappa \in U(Z)$, $I_{\beta+\delta}=I_\beta+I_\delta=(\alpha+\gamma)_r D=(\kappa-1)_r D=D+\kappa_r D$, and $\alpha(1+\kappa)=\alpha(\alpha+\gamma)=\alpha(1+\alpha)+\alpha(1+\gamma)=D(\alpha+\gamma)=D(\kappa-1)=D(\kappa)$. Therefore, it follows that $\mathfrak{D}_\alpha(B)$ is an additive subgroup of $(Z_0, +)$.

(2) Let β be an element of $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha(B)$. Then $I_\beta=D+\alpha_r D$ for some $\alpha \in U(Z)$ with $\alpha^2=1$, and $\alpha(1+\alpha)=D(\alpha)$. Hence $\alpha(1+\alpha)=D(\alpha)=D(1+\alpha)$. Since $1+\alpha \in Z$, we have $1+\alpha=0$, and so, $\alpha=1$. Hence $I_\beta=2D=0$, which implies $\beta \in Z$. This completes the proof.

In the rest of this section, we assume that $D^2 + \alpha_r D$ is an inner deriva-

tion determined by an element of B_o . Then we have $B_o(a, D) \neq \emptyset$, and so $\Omega_D^a(B) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, we set

$P_D^a(B)$ = the set of all B -ring isomorphism classes in $\Omega_D^a(B)$,

$\langle A \rangle = C$ if $C \in P_D^a(B)$ and $A \in C$.

Further, if $A = B[X; D]/(X^2 - Xa - b) \in \Omega_D^a(B)$ then we denote $X + (X^2 - Xa - b)B[X; D]$ and A by x_b and $B[x_b]$ respectively.

Lemma 3.2. *Let b be an element of $B_o(a, D)$. Then*

(1) $B_o(a, D) = \{b + z \mid z \in Z_o\}$.

(2) *If c and d are elements of $B_o(a, D)$, then so is $c + d + b$.*

Proof. Let c be an element of $B_o(a, D)$. Then $I_c = D^2 + a_r D = I_b$. This implies $c = b + z$ for some $z \in Z_o$. Conversely, for each $z \in Z_o$, it is obvious that $I_{b+z} = I_b = D^2 + a_r D$, and hence $b + z \in B_o(a, D)$. Thus we obtain (1). The assertion (2) will be easily seen from (1).

Lemma 3.3. *Let $B[x_b]$ and $B[x_c]$ be elements of $\Omega_D^a(B)$. Then $B[x_b]$ is B -ring isomorphic to $B[x_c]$ if and only if $b + c \in \mathfrak{D}_a(B)$.*

Proof. We write $x = x_b$ and $y = x_c$. First, we assume that $b + c \in \mathfrak{D}_a(B)$. Then there exist elements $\alpha \in U(Z)$ and $\beta \in B$ such that $b + c = \beta^2 + D(\beta) + \beta a$, $I_\beta = D + \alpha_r D$, $\alpha^2 = 1$, and $a(1 + \alpha) = D(\alpha)$. Since $I_\beta(\alpha) = 0$ and $I_\beta(\beta) = 0$, we have $D(\alpha)\alpha = D(\alpha)$ and $D(\beta)\alpha = D(\beta)$. We set here $y_* = y\alpha + \beta$. Then $y_*^2 = y(\alpha a^2 + D(\alpha)\alpha) + c\alpha^2 + D(\beta)\alpha + \beta^2 = y(a + D(\alpha)) + c + D(\beta) + \beta^2 = ya\alpha + b + \beta a = y_*a + b$, and moreover, for each $d \in B$, $dy_* = yd\alpha + D(d)\alpha + d\beta = y\alpha d + D(d) + \beta d = y_*d + D(d)$. Hence, the mapping $ux + v \rightarrow uy_* + v$ ($u, v \in B$) is a B -ring isomorphism of $B[x]$ to $B[y]$. To see the converse, we assume that there exists a B -ring isomorphism $B[x] \rightarrow B[y]$, which will be denoted by ϕ . Then $\phi(x) = y\alpha + \beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in B$, $\phi(dx) = d\phi(x)$ for all $d \in B$, and $\phi(x^2) = \phi(x)^2$. Since, for $d \in B$, $\phi(dx) = \phi(xd + D(d)) = y\alpha d + \beta d + D(d)$ and $d\phi(x) = d(y\alpha + \beta) = yd\alpha + D(d)\alpha + d\beta$, it follows that $\alpha \in Z$ and $I_\beta = D + \alpha_r D$. Noting that $y = (y\alpha + \beta)d_1 + d_2$ for some $d_1, d_2 \in B$, we see that $\alpha \in U(Z)$. Moreover, since $\phi(x^2) = \phi(xa + b) = y\alpha a + \beta a + b$ and $\phi(x)^2 = (y\alpha + \beta)^2 = y(\alpha a^2 + D(\alpha)\alpha) + c\alpha^2 + D(\beta)\alpha + \beta^2$, it follows that $a(1 + \alpha) = D(\alpha)$ and $b + c\alpha^2 = \beta^2 + D(\beta)\alpha + \beta a$. The derivation $\alpha_r D = D - I_\beta$ gives $D(\alpha)\alpha = D(\alpha)$ and $D(\beta)\alpha = D(\beta)$. Hence we obtain $\alpha^2 = (a^{-1}D(\alpha) - 1)\alpha = a^{-1}D(\alpha)\alpha - \alpha = a^{-1}D(\alpha) - \alpha = 1$ and $b + c = \beta^2 + D(\beta) + \beta a$. By Lemma 3.2 (1), the sum $b + c$ is contained in Z_o . We have therefore that $b + c \in \mathfrak{D}_a(B)$, the desired conclusion.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that $\beta\delta = \delta\beta$ for all $\beta, \delta \in \mathfrak{B}_a(B)$, and let b be*

an element of $B_o(a, D)$. Then $P_D^a(B)$ forms an abelian group of exponent 2 under the composition $\langle B[x_c] \rangle \langle B[x_d] \rangle = \langle B[x_{c+d+b}] \rangle$ (with the identity element $\langle B[x_b] \rangle$), and this group is isomorphic to $(Z_o, +)/\mathfrak{D}_a(B)$.

Proof. Let $\langle B[x_c] \rangle = \langle B[x_{c'}] \rangle$ and $\langle B[x_d] \rangle = \langle B[x_{d'}] \rangle$ ($c, c', d, d' \in B_o(a, D)$). Then by Lemma 3.3, the sums $c+c'$ and $d+d'$ are contained in $\mathfrak{D}_a(B)$. By Lemma 3.1(1), $\mathfrak{D}_a(B)$ is an additive subgroup of $(Z_o, +)$. Hence we have $(c+c')+(d+d') \in \mathfrak{D}_a(B)$, that is, $(c+d+b)+(c'+d'+b) \in \mathfrak{D}_a(B)$. By Lemma 3.2(2), $c+d+b$ and $c'+d'+b$ are in $B_o(a, D)$. Therefore, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that $\langle B[x_{c+d+b}] \rangle = \langle B[x_{c'+d'+b}] \rangle$. This means that the composition is well defined. Clearly, the composition is associative and commutative. Moreover, we have that $\langle B[x_c] \rangle \langle B[x_b] \rangle = \langle B[x_{c+b+b}] \rangle = \langle B[x_c] \rangle$, and $\langle B[x_c] \rangle \langle B[x_c] \rangle = \langle B[x_{c+c+b}] \rangle = \langle B[x_b] \rangle$. Thus our composition makes $P_D^a(B)$ into a group of exponent 2 with the identity element $\langle B[x_b] \rangle$. Now, if $\langle B[x_c] \rangle \in P_D^a(B)$ then $c \in B_o(a, D)$, and conversely. Moreover, $c \in B_o(a, D)$ if and only if $c = b + z$ for some $z \in Z_o$ (Lemma 3.2(1)). Hence the mapping

$$f: z \longrightarrow \langle B[x_{b+z}] \rangle \quad (z \in Z_o)$$

is a group epimorphism of Z_o to $P_D^a(B)$. For an element $z \in Z_o$, the result of Lemma 3.3 enables us to see that $f(z) = \langle B[x_b] \rangle$ (identity element) if and only if $z = (b+z)+b \in \mathfrak{D}_a(B)$. This implies that $(Z_o, +)/\mathfrak{D}_a(B)$ is isomorphic to $P_D^a(B)$.

Remark. We assume that $\beta\delta = \delta\beta$ for all $\beta, \delta \in \mathfrak{B}_a(B)$, and by $(P_D^a(B), b)$ we denote the group $P_D^a(B)$ given in Th. 3.4 whose group composition is related to b , an element of $B_o(a, D)$. Then, for each element $v \in B_o(a, D)$, we have a group $(P_D^a(B), v)$. If $b, v \in B_o(a, D)$ and $b+v \notin \mathfrak{D}_a(B)$ then, by Lemma 3.3, we see that the group composition in $(P_D^a(B), b)$ is different from that in $(P_D^a(B), v)$. However, we have $(Z_o, +)/\mathfrak{D}_a(B) \cong (P_D^a(B), v)$ for each $v \in B_o(a, D)$ (Th. 3.4). In the rest of this section, we shall understand $P_D^a(B)$ a group $(P_D^a(B), b)$ where b is an element of $B_o(a, D)$.

Lemma 3.5. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) $D(z) \neq az$ for each $z \in Z - \{0\}$.
- (b) $D|Z$ (the restriction of D on Z) $= 0$.

Proof. Clearly (b) implies (a). Conversely, assume (a), and let z be an arbitrary element of Z . Then, for each $c \in B$, $D(z)c = D(zc) - zD(c) = D(cz) - D(c)z = cD(z) + D(c)z - D(c)z = cD(z)$, and this shows $D(z) \in Z$. Now, for an element $b \in B_o(a, D)$ ($\neq \emptyset$), $0 = I_b(z) = D^2(z) - a_r D(z) = D^2(z) +$

$D(z)a = D^2(z) + aD(z)$, that is, $D(D(z)) = aD(z)$. Since $D(z) \in Z$, it follows that $D(z) = 0$. Thus we obtain (b).

Corollary 1. (1) If $D(z) \neq az$ for each $z \in Z - \{0\}$ (which is equivalent to that $D|Z=0$) then $P_D^a(B) \cong (Z, +) / \{z^2 + za \mid z \in Z\} \cong P_0^a(B) \cong P_0^a(Z)$.

(2) If D is inner then $P_D^a(B) \cong P_0^a(B)$.

(3) If B is commutative then $P_0^a(B) \cong (B, +) / \{b^2 + ba \mid b \in B\}$.

(4) If $a=1$ and $D(z) \neq z$ for each $z \in Z - \{0\}$ (i. e., $D^2 - D$ is an inner derivation determined by an element of B_0 and $D|Z=0$) then $P_D^1(B) \cong (Z, +) / \{z^2 + z \mid z \in Z\} \cong P_0^1(B)$ and for each $\langle A \rangle \in P_D^1(B)$, A is a Galois extension of B .

Proof. (1) By Lemma 3.1(2), we have $\mathfrak{B}_a(B) \subseteq Z$. On the other hand, since $Z = Z_0$, it follows that $\mathfrak{B}_a(B) = Z$. Hence $\mathfrak{D}_a(B) = \{z^2 + za \mid z \in Z\}$. The rest is obvious from Th. 3.4. (2) Since $D|Z=0$, this is a direct consequence of (1). (3) This is also an easy consequence of (1). Moreover, (4) follows immediately from (1) and the result of [3. Cor. 1.1].

Finally we shall prove the following

Theorem 3.5. Let $\phi: B \rightarrow R$ be a ring isomorphism, and W the center of R . Then R has a derivation E with $\phi D = E\phi$, which is uniquely determined. If $\beta\delta \neq \delta\beta$ for all $\beta, \delta \in \mathfrak{B}_a(B)$ (resp. if $D(z) \neq az$ for each $z \in Z - \{0\}$) then $P_D^a(B) \cong P_E^{a(a)}(R)$ (resp. $P_D^a(B) \cong P_E^{a(a)}(R) \cong (W, +) / \{w^2 + w\phi(a) \mid w \in W\}$). In particular, $P_0^1(B) \cong P_0^1(R)$.

Proof. Clearly the map $E = \phi D \phi^{-1}$ of R into itself is a derivation of R . This implies the first assertion. Since ϕ is a ring isomorphism, we have $\phi(Z) = W$. Moreover, $E(\phi(B_0)) = \phi(D(B_0)) = 0$ and $D(\phi^{-1}(R_0)) = \phi^{-1}(E(R_0)) = 0$ where $R_0 = R^E$. Hence $\phi(B_0) \subseteq R_0$ and $\phi^{-1}(R_0) \subseteq B_0$. Thus we obtain $\phi(B_0) = R_0$, and $\phi(Z_0) = \phi(Z \cap B_0) = \phi(Z) \cap \phi(B_0) = W \cap R_0 = W_0$. For any $b \in B_0(a, D) (\neq \emptyset)$, $I_b = D^2 + a, D$, and hence, $I_{\phi(b)} = E^2 + \phi(a), E$ where $\phi(b)$ is in R_0 . Now, let $\beta \in \mathfrak{B}_a(B)$. Then $\beta^2 + D(\beta) + \beta a \in Z_0$, $I_\beta = D + \alpha, D$ for some $\alpha \in U(Z)$ with $\alpha^2 = 1$ and $a(1 + \alpha) = D(\alpha)$. Hence $\phi(\beta)^2 + E(\phi(\beta)) + \phi(\beta)\phi(\alpha) \in \phi(Z_0) = W_0$, $I_{\phi(\beta)} = E + \phi(\alpha), E$, $\phi(\alpha) \in U(W)$, $\phi(\alpha)^2 = 1$, and $\phi(a)(1 + \phi(\alpha)) = E(\phi(a))$. Therefore, it follows that $\phi(\beta) \in \mathfrak{R}_{\phi(a)}(R) = \{\mu \mid \mu^2 + E(\mu) + \mu\phi(a) \in W_0, I_\mu = E + \nu, E \text{ for some } \nu \in U(W) \text{ with } \nu^2 = 1 \text{ and } \phi(a)(1 + \nu) = E(\nu)\}$. Moreover, we have that $\phi(\beta^2 + D(\beta) + \beta a) = \phi(\beta)^2 + E(\phi(\beta)) + \phi(\beta)\phi(a) \in \mathfrak{G}_{\phi(a)}(R) = \{\mu^2 + E(\mu) + \mu a \mid \mu \in \mathfrak{R}_{\phi(a)}(R)\}$. Thus, we obtain that $\phi(\mathfrak{B}_a(B)) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_{\phi(a)}(R)$ and $\phi(\mathfrak{D}_a(B)) \subseteq \mathfrak{G}_{\phi(a)}(R)$; symmetrically $\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{R}_{\phi(a)}(R)) \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_a(B)$ and $\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{G}_{\phi(a)}(R)) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_a(B)$. Hence $\phi(\mathfrak{B}_a(B)) = \mathfrak{R}_{\phi(a)}(R)$ and $\phi(\mathfrak{D}_a(B)) = \mathfrak{G}_{\phi(a)}(R)$.

Consequently, if $\beta\delta = \delta\beta$ for all $\beta, \delta \in \mathfrak{B}_a(B)$ then $\mu\rho = \rho\mu$ for all $\mu, \rho \in \mathfrak{G}_{\phi(a)}(R)$, and hence Theorem 3.4 enables us to obtain that $P_n^a(B) \cong (Z_o, +)/\mathfrak{D}_a(B) \cong (W_o, +)/\mathfrak{G}_{\phi(a)}(R) \cong P_E^{\phi(a)}(R)$. Moreover, if $D(z) \neq az$ for each $z \in Z - \{0\}$ then $E(\phi(z)) = \phi(D(z)) \neq \phi(a)\phi(z)$ for each $z \in Z - \{0\}$, which implies $E(w) \neq \phi(a)w$ for each $w \in W - \{0\}$; and whence, by Cor. 1 (of Th. 3.4) we obtain $P_E^{\phi(a)}(R) \cong (W, +)/\{w^2 + w\phi(a) \mid w \in W\}$. The other assertion will be easily seen.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. BASS: Lectures on topics in algebraic K -theory, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1967.
- [2] K. KITAMURA: On the free quadratic extensions of commutative rings, Osaka J. Math. **10** (1973), 15—20.
- [3] K. KISHIMOTO: On abelian extensions of rings I, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **14** (1974), 159—174.
- [4] K. KISHIMOTO: On abelian extensions of rings II, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **15** (1971), 57—70.
- [5] Y. MIYASHITA: Finite outer Galois theory of non-commutative rings, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., Ser. I, **19** (1966), 114—134.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SHINSHU UNIVERSITY

(Received December 1, 1975)